

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,888	WOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DHIRU R PATEL	2831	

All Participants:

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(2) Jeffrey Whittle.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 16, 20, 28 and 30


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 1 and 16 being revised to replace "a user" with -- the user--, and insert "portable" in claim 20, and replace portable utility power line pole with method in claims 28 and 30.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DHIRU R. PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)